SEROLOGIC SURVEILLANCE FOR SELECTED VIRAL AGENTS IN CAPTIVE AND FREE-RANGING POPULATIONS OF ARABIAN ORYX (ORYX LEUCORYX) FROM SAUDI ARABIA AND THE UNITED ARAB EMIRATES
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Abstract: A total of 294 sera collected between 1999 and 2001 from eight captive and one free-ranging herds of Arabian oryx (Oryx leucoryx) distributed in Saudi Arabia (SA) and the United Arab Emirates (UAE) were assayed for antibodies against 13 selected viral agents. Arabian oryx have been exposed to bluetongue virus (BTV), epizootic hemorrhagic disease virus (EHDV), rinderpest virus (RPV), bovine respiratory syncytial virus (BRSV), bovine adenovirus 3 (BAV-3), cervid herpesvirus-1, foot-and-mouth disease virus, equine herpesvirus 9, and bovine viral diarrhea virus. The high seroprevalence to BTV and EHDV in the UAE and SA indicates that Arabian oryx are likely to be susceptible to infection by these viruses and therefore could act as a source of virus to vectors during the infective stage of infection. Moreover, antibodies were detected against RPV and BRSV in sera from SA and against BAV-3 in sera from the UAE. No antibodies were found against bovine herpesvirus-1, caprine herpesvirus-1, enzootic bovine leukosis virus, and peste des petits ruminants virus. On the basis of these results, caution should be applied when considering translocation of Arabian oryx, and only those proven to be free of infectious agents that might present a risk to other species should be moved.
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INTRODUCTION

The Arabian oryx (Oryx leucoryx) is one of six surviving species within the subfamily Hippotraginae (Wilson and Reeder, 1993). Although excessive hunting resulted in the extinction of this species in the wild by the early 1970s (Henderson, 1974), re-introduction of captive-bred animals to their former ranges has been carried out successfully in Oman in 1982 and Saudi Arabia (SA) in 1990 and 1995 (Ostrowski et al., 1998; Spalton et al., 1999).

There is evidence that Arabian oryx are susceptible to some agents that affect livestock (Kock and Hawkey, 1988; Flamand, 1999; Ostrowski et al., 2002); therefore, there is always a risk that relocated animals can either become infected with agents already present or introduce new and novel pathogenic agents into naive areas (Woodford, 1989; Woodford and Rossiter, 1994). Obtaining up-to-date information on the serologic status of these animals and occurrence of diseases in oryx that affect domesticated animals is important for the health management of captive Arabian oryx herds and for re-introduction programs (Greth et al., 1992).

The objective of this study was to determine the prevalence of antibodies against 13 selected viral agents in 294 captive and free-ranging Arabian oryx living in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) and SA. This study is particularly relevant because it concerns re-introduction projects of a threatened species into several Middle Eastern countries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two hundred ninety-four blood samples were collected from eight captive herds (n=278) and one free-ranging herd (n=16) of Arabian oryx in SA (n=98) and the UAE (n=196) between 1999 and 2001 (Table 1). The number of serologic reactors was calculated...
TABLE 1. Herd size and number of samples collected at different locations from Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates between 1999 and 2001.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Herd size</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1+F2*</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahazat as-Sayd</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarf</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Palace</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reef</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghantoot</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bida Khalifa</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bani Yas</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,713</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Located in the National Wildlife Research Center; herd F1 includes the offspring of herd A, and F2 consists of the offspring of F1; only F1 and F2 are in direct contact.

separately for each location, because the herds had no contact to each other.

In SA, samples were collected at the National Wildlife Research Center (NWRC; 21°15'N, 40°41'E) near Taif (Fig. 1) and in the reserve of Mahazat as-Sayd (25°15'N, 41°40'E). The Arabian oryx herd of the NWRC was created in 1986 as part of the national oryx conservation plan. Because of a bovine tuberculosis outbreak that occurred in 1986 and 1987, the founder group (generation A) had been kept isolated in one area of the NWRC with no contact in following generations (F1, F2). The F1 generation was removed from their dams immediately after birth. Thus, from an epidemiologic aspect, we considered A and F1+F2 as two separate herds. The animals had no direct contact with other ungulate species.

Mahazat as-Sayd, a 2.240 km² protected area located about 200 km northeast of the NWRC, was fenced in 1989 to exclude poachers and grazing livestock. The free-ranging herd of Arabian oryx of the reserve was founded from 72 captive-born animals from the F1+F2 herd at NWRC and elsewhere; animals were reintroduced between 1990 and 1993. Reintroduced animals and their offspring are never returned to the NWRC. Apart from a population of reintroduced Arabian sand gazelles (Gazella subgutturosa marica; Nayerul Haque and Smith, 1995), the free-ranging Arabian oryx herd has no direct contact with other ungulate species. The approximate herd size for Mahazat as-Sayd during 1999 and 2001 was estimated with an exponential model, starting with 350 animals at the end of 1997 (Ostrowski et al., 1998) and ending with 650 animals at the beginning of 2003.

At the NWRC, animals were bled during the annual winter prophylaxis operations. They were individually kept in a 40-m² capture pen before blood sampling. Males were immobilized with xylazine (Rompun®, Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany) according to Ancrenaz (1994) with the use of a pneumatic dart gun (GUT-50, Telinject, Germany). Females were handled and blood-sampled without being tranquilized. At Mahazat as-Sayd, blood of Arabian oryx were opportunistically sampled when captured to fix identification collars. They were immobilized with a combination of etorphine (M99®, 4.5–5 mg/animal, Grampian Pharmaceuticals, Dundee, UK) and xylazine (12–25 mg/animal). Animals were reversed with diprenorphine (M5050®, 2–3 mg/etorphine iv, Grampian Pharmaceuticals) and atipamezole (Antisedan®, 0.2–0.3 mg/animal), Farmos Group, Turku, Finland) at Mahazat as-Sayd and with atipamezole alone at NWRC.

In the UAE, sampling was performed at five different locations (Jarf, Sea Palace, Reef, Ghantoot, and Bida Khalifa) in the vicinity of Abu Dhabi city (24°29'N, 54°22'E) and at a sixth site on Sir Bani Yas Island (24°19'N, 52°36'E; Fig. 1). These six herds comprise between eight and 350 captive animals living in large fenced enclosures varying from 1–30 km². Because there have been no movements of animals into the herds, these are six different herds from an epidemiologic point of view. All oryx from the UAE were immobilized according to Ancrenaz (1994). Sera from all animals
bled in SA and the UAE were stored at −20°C before assay. All animals sampled in SA and the UAE were adult (3.5–10 yr).

A constant 1:5 dilution of each serum was assayed with serogroup-specific competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (C-ELISA) for the presence of antibodies to rinderpest virus (RPV) and peste des petits ruminants virus (PPRV; Anderson et al., 1991), bluetongue virus (BTV; Anderson, 1984), and epizootic hemorrhagic disease virus (EHDV; Thevasagayam et al., 1995). Positive sera specific for each virus being tested and a negative serum were included as controls for each ELISA and on each test plate. Sera giving percent inhibition values equal to or greater than 50% were recorded positive.

The Eli-vet bovine respiratory syncytial virus (BRSV) and bovine adenovirus-3 (BAV-3) assays (Sanofi, Cera GmbH, Düsseldorf, Germany) were used for the detection of antibodies against BRSV and BAV-3, respectively. Briefly, specific antigen was adsorbed onto ELISA microplate wells. Wells without viral antigens were used to test the conspecificity of the assay. Bovine antibodies specific to the corresponding virus-type antigens were detected by a monoclonal anti-bovine IgG1 antibody coupled with peroxidase. Because Arabian oryx belong to the family Bovidae, a high level of cross-reactivity with anti-bovine IgG1 was assumed.

Four cytopathic strains of bovine viral diarrheal virus (BVDV: SH9/11, Grub 313/83, NADL, and Osloss) were used in microneutralization tests (NT; Frey and Liess, 1971; Frollich and Hofmann, 1995) for the detection of anti-BVDV antibodies. All tests were performed in 96-well microtiter plates (Nunc-Gibco, Paisley, Renfrewshire, UK) with 100 TCID50 dose infecting 50% of the inoculated tissue culture cell) BVDV per 100-μl well and twofold serum dilutions. The NT was performed for 1 hr at 37°C. Subsequently, 3 x 10^5 cells/ml were seeded into each well. Georgia bovine kidney cells (American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, Maryland, USA) and Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (DMEM, Life Technologie, Berlin, Germany) with 5% fetal bovine calf serum (FCS) were used for verification of BVDV. Four days later, the formalin-fixed cells were evaluated for the presence of cytopathic effects (Frost et al., 1990), and antibody titers were calculated according to Spearmann and Köberl (1985). Titers of more than 1:4 were considered positive (Malmquist, 1968). Neutralization tests were performed twice for each serum, and the mean titer was calculated. Virus, cell, and FCS controls were included in each test.

The 3ABC ELISA used was designed to detect antibodies against the foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV) polyprotein 3ABC in exotic species, including oryx. The assay uses glutathione S-transferase expressed 3ABC as the antigen, prepared according to the methods of De Diego et al. (1997) and Mackay et al. (1998). This assay uses conjugated protein G, which is known to bind to the antibody molecules of a wide variety of species (Kronwall, 1973; Akerstrom et al., 1985). Briefly, GST-3ABC antigen, optimally diluted in carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6), was added to all test wells of columns 2 and 3, 5 and 6, 8 and 9, and 11 and 12 of ELISA plates (Maxisorp Immuno-plate, Nunc-Gibco). Columns 1, 4, 7, and 10 (negative antigen control wells) received 50 μl/well of carbonate-bicarbonate buffer alone. Plates were incubated at ambient temperature (18–22°C) overnight in a humidity chamber. Sera, diluted 1:200 in PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBST), 3% commercial unsweetened organic soya milk, 1% normal horse serum (blocking buffer), and 1% Escherichia coli sonicate, were allowed to stand at room temperature for 1 hr before addition to the ELISA plates. The ELISA plates were washed three times with PBS. Fifty microliters of diluted test sera were then added to triplicate wells of each row (e.g., A1, A2, and A3). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 1 hr on an orbital shaker. Control sera on each plate included a strong and weak FMDV-specific positive convalescent serum, a negative bovine serum, a strong FMDV-positive serum, and a negative gemsbox (Oryx gazella) serum. Plates were washed as before, and 50 μl of protein G horseradish peroxidase conjugate, optimally diluted in blocking buffer, was added to each well. Plates were incubated as before. After washing, 50 μl/well of chromogen/substrate (orthophenylene diamine/H2O2) were added to each well. The color reaction was stopped after 15 min by addition of an equal volume of 1.25 M H2SO4. Absorbance values were determined spectrophotometrically at 492 nm. Results for test and control sera were expressed as the mean optical density (OD492) for the two wells with GST-3ABC antigen minus the OD492 of the well containing only buffer. The net OD492 of every test sample and of the weak positive control were then divided by the net OD492 of the strong positive bovine control, resulting in the test/positive (t/p) ratio. This procedure adjusts results for plate-to-plate and for between-test variation. Test sera giving t/p ratios equal to or greater than that of the weak positive control on the same plate were considered positive.

Antibodies against the following three alphaherpesviruses were compared: bovine herpesvirus-1 (BHV-1; Cooper-type strain, USA), caprine herpesvirus-1 (CapHV-1; E/CH), and
the Moredun strain of cervid herpesvirus (HVC-1). A standard NT (Ackermann et al.,
1986) was used for the detection of anti-herpesvirus antibodies. All tests were performed in
96-well microtiter plates. Georgia bovine kid-
ney cells and DMEM with 5% fetal bovine se-
rum were used for the propagation of all her-
pesviruses. In an initial screening procedure,
50 μl of 1:4 serum dilutions were incubated in
duplicate with approximately 70 plaque-form-
ing units (PFU) in 50 μl DMEM/well for 1 hr
at 37 C. Subsequently, 3 × 10⁴ cells/100 μl
were seeded into each well. After 3 hr, 200 μl
of 1.6% carboxymethylcellulose in DMEM
were added. In the second assay, the positive
sera were titrated in twofold dilutions. The
procedure was as described above, however four
wells were used for each dilution. The cells
were examined for the presence of cytopathic
effects after 2 days for BHV-1 and CapHV-1
and 5 days for HVC-1. Virus, cell, and FCS
controls were included in each test, and anti-
body titers were calculated according to Hor-
zinke (1985). Titers were expressed as the
reciprocal of the highest dilution of serum exhib-
iting 50% inhibition of cytopathic effects. Titers
of 1:4 or more were considered positive (Ekk-
Kommnen et al., 1982). The NT was per-
formed twice for each serum, and the mean
titer was calculated.

A standard NT, as described by Fukushi
et al. (1997), was used for the detection of anti-
bodies against equine herpesvirus-9 (EHV-9).
All tests were performed in 24-well plates
(Nunc-Gibco). Equine dermis cells (ZBV, BFA
für Viruskrankheiten der Tiere, Insel Riems,
Germany) and DMEM with 10% fetal bovine
serum were used for the propagation of her-
pesvirus. In an initial screening procedure, 100
μl of 1:4 heat-inactivated (30 min at 56 C) se-
rum dilutions were incubated with approxi-
mately 70 PFU in 100 μl DMEM for 1 hr at
37 C. Subsequently, 1 × 10⁵ cells/200 μl were
seeded into each well. After 3 hr, 400 μl of
1.6% carboxymethylcellulose in DMEM was
added. After 1 day, the cells were examined for
the presence of cytopathic effects. Plaques
were stained with Giemsa solution and counted.
In a second step, the positive sera were ti-
trated in twofold dilutions. Antibody titers were
expressed as the highest serum dilution pro-
ducing greater than 50% reduction in plaque
counts. Titers of 1:10 or more were considered
positive. All samples were tested twice in this
assay, and the mean titer was calculated. Virus,
cell, and FCS controls were included in each
test.

The CHEKIT-leucosis ELISA (Dr. Bommeli
AG, Liebefeld-Bern, Switzerland) was used for
the detection of antibodies against enzootic bo-
vine leucosis virus (EBLV). Briefly, the wells of
the CHEKIT-leucostest microplates were pre-
coated with inactivated virus antigen (positive
antigen) and blank antigen (negative antigen).
Antibodies against EBLV in a serum sample are
bound by the virus antigen on the leucostest mi-
icroplate. The formation of immunocomplex
elements is detected by means of the anti-bovine
immunoglobulin peroxidase conjugate, staining
the CHEKIT chromogen blue-green. Because
Arabian oryx belong to the family Bovidae,
a high level of cross-reactivity with anti-bovine
IgG₁ was assumed. Nonspecific antibodies are
evenly bound to negative antigen and positive
antigen. The net extinction of a sample is equal
to the positive antigen extinction minus the
negative antigen extinction of this sample. The
intensity of the color corresponds to the anti-
body titer of the sample. Therefore, the sam-
ple must be prepared only in one dilution (1:
40). Diagnostic evaluation depends on the
spectrophotometric comparison of the color
with controls.

For all tests in this study, a negative control
serum was used. However, positive control sera
were not available for most of the tests. There-
fore, detection of antibodies against a closely
related virus cannot be excluded.

The prevalence and the 95% confidence in-
terval (CI) were calculated separately for each
virus strain and each location. The population
sizes were known, so the 95% CIs were cor-
rected for the respective population sizes ac-
cording to Burstein (1975). Because of the gen-
erally small number of samples, the prevalence
of antibodies recorded for each viral agent in
different years was not compared. However, the
seroprevalence at different locations was com-
pared for each virus strain between the three SA
locations and between the six UAE
locations. Interdependencies between pairs of
categorical or binary variables were tested by
the chi-square test (exact version). Adjusted
standardized residuals were used to identify the
locations responsible for significant differences
(Everitt, 1977). More frequently than expected
positive samples (standardized residual of
>1.96) or less frequently than expected positive
samples (standardized residual of <−1.96) in a
strain’s contingency table (seropositivity, loca-
tion) are indicated by a + or − sign, respec-
tively (Tables 2, 3). The significance level was
generally set to α=0.05. SPSS 9.0 (SPSS Inc.,
Chicago, Illinois, USA) served for the statistical
calculations, except for the Burstein correction.

RESULTS

Table 2 records the results obtained for
the Arabian oryx sera collected in SA. A
Table 2. Prevalence of antibodies to 16 viral agents in sera of captive (A, F1, F2) and free-ranging (Mahazat as-Sayd) Arabian oryx from three different locations in Saudi Arabia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>P/T</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>P/T</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>Mahazat as-Sayd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTV</td>
<td>9/20</td>
<td>0.29–0.62</td>
<td>28/58</td>
<td>0.37–0.60 +</td>
<td>0/16 –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHDV</td>
<td>10/20</td>
<td>0.32–0.66</td>
<td>24/58</td>
<td>0.30–0.53</td>
<td>0/16 –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRSV</td>
<td>2/20</td>
<td>0.03–0.26</td>
<td>15/62</td>
<td>0.16–0.35 +</td>
<td>0/16 –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPV</td>
<td>3/20</td>
<td>0.06–0.32</td>
<td>16/58</td>
<td>0.18–0.39</td>
<td>0/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAV-3</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>0.01–0.19</td>
<td>2/62</td>
<td>0.01–0.10</td>
<td>0/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVDV (NADL)</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/62</td>
<td>0.03–0.16</td>
<td>0/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVDV (Grub)</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/62</td>
<td>0.03–0.16</td>
<td>0/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVDV (SH9/11)</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>0.01–0.19</td>
<td>5/62</td>
<td>0.03–0.16</td>
<td>0/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVDV (Osloss)</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/62</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMDV</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/62</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVC-1</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/62</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHV-1</td>
<td>0/19</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/62</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CapHV-1</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/62</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHV-9</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/62</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBLV</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/62</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPRV</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/62</td>
<td></td>
<td>0/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a P/T = number of positive reactors/number of samples tested; CI = 95% confidence interval; + = more than expected positive samples; – = fewer than expected positive samples.

*b Only individuals from F1 and F2 are in direct contact.

c BTV = bluetongue virus; EHDV = epizootic hemorrhagic disease virus; BRSV = bovine respiratory syncytial virus; RPV = rinderpest virus; BAV-3 = bovine adenovirus 3; BVDV = bovine viral diarrhea virus; FMDV = foot-and-mouth disease virus; HVC-1 = cervid herpesvirus 1; BHV-1 = bovine herpesvirus 1; CapHV-1 = caprine herpesvirus 1; EHV-1 = equine herpesvirus 1; EBLV = enzootic bovine leucosis virus; PPRV = peste des petits ruminants virus.

A high prevalence of antibodies was recorded against the two arthropod-borne viruses BTV and EHDV and against RPV and BRSV in herds A and F1+F2, whereas no antibodies against these four viruses were observed in the herd from Mahazat as-Sayd (Table 2). A low prevalence of antibodies was detected among captive Arabian oryx from SA against the BVDV strains SH9/11, NADL, and Grub 313/83. The NT titers varied between 1:19 and 1:150 against SH9/11, between 1:13 and 1:298 against NADL, and between 1:9 and 1:89 against Grub 313/83. No antibodies against Osloss strain were found in any of the sera tested. Because of the low number of positive samples for all three strains, a statistical comparison was not performed. A low seroprevalence for BAV-3 was only recorded in herd A (one of 20) and herd F1+F2 (two of 62). No antibodies were detected against the four different herpesviruses (HVC-1, BHV-1, CapHV-1, and EHV-9), FMDV, EBLV, and PPRV. Significantly different seroprevalences were found between herds A, F1+F2, and Mahazat as-Sayd for BRSV (P=0.040), BTV (P=0.002), and EHDV (P=0.003). More seroreactors than expected were found for BRSV and BTV in herd F1+F2, and fewer than expected were found in the Mahazat as-Sayd herd. Fewer than expected reactors were also observed for EHDV in the Mahazat as-Sayd herd (Table 2).

Table 3 records the results obtained for the Arabian oryx sera collected in the UAE. Antibodies against BTV and EHDV were recorded for each year of sampling and at all locations except Reef (where no antibodies were detected against EHDV), whereas antibodies against BRSV were only observed in herds from Jarf (one of 55), Sea Palace (four of 20), and Reef (one of 15). Unlike SA, no antibodies were detected against RPV. Likewise, no antibodies were detected against the four strains of BVDV tested. A low prevalence of an-
Table 3. Prevalence of antibodies\textsuperscript{a} to 16 viral agents in sera of captive Arabian oryx from six different locations\textsuperscript{b} in the United Arab Emirates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent\textsuperscript{c}</th>
<th>Jarf P/T</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>Sea Palace P/T</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>Reef P/T</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>Ghantoot P/T</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>Bida Khalifa P/T</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>Bani Yas P/T</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BTV</td>
<td>17/55</td>
<td>0.20–0.44 (+)</td>
<td>3/19</td>
<td>0.05–0.36</td>
<td>0.02–0.39</td>
<td>5/48</td>
<td>0.05–0.20</td>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>0.05–0.32</td>
<td>3/50</td>
<td>0.02–0.16 (-)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHDV</td>
<td>31/55</td>
<td>0.44–0.69 (+)</td>
<td>1/19</td>
<td>0.01–0.23</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>7/48</td>
<td>0.08–0.25</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>3/7</td>
<td>0.26–0.61</td>
<td>31/50</td>
<td>0.48–0.73 (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRSV</td>
<td>1/55</td>
<td>0.00–0.09 +</td>
<td>4/20</td>
<td>0.08–0.40 +</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>1/15</td>
<td>0.00–0.31</td>
<td>0/48</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td>0/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPV</td>
<td>0/55</td>
<td>0/19</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0/48</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAV-3</td>
<td>1/55</td>
<td>0.00–0.09 +</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>11/48</td>
<td>0.14–0.34</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>3/50</td>
<td>0.02–0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVDV (NADL)</td>
<td>0/55</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0/48</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVDV (Grub)</td>
<td>0/55</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0/48</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVDV (SH9/11)</td>
<td>0/55</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0/48</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVDV (Osloss)</td>
<td>0/55</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0/48</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMDV</td>
<td>0/55</td>
<td>0/19</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>2/48</td>
<td>0.01–0.12</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVC-1</td>
<td>0/55</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>1/48</td>
<td>0.00–0.09</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>2/49</td>
<td>0.01–0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHV-1</td>
<td>0/55</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0/47</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>0/48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CapHV-1</td>
<td>0/55</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0/48</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>0/48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHV-9</td>
<td>0/55</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0/47</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>1/49</td>
<td>0.00–0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBLV</td>
<td>0/55</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0/48</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPRV</td>
<td>0/55</td>
<td>0/19</td>
<td>0/15</td>
<td>0/48</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>0/50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\textsuperscript{a} P/T = \text{number of positive reactors/number of samples tested}; CI = \text{95\% confidence interval}; \(+\) = more than expected positive samples; \(-\) = fewer than expected positive samples.\\textsuperscript{b} Individuals from the different locations are not in direct contact.\\textsuperscript{c} BTV = bluetongue virus; EHDV = epizootic hemorrhagic disease virus; BRSV = bovine respiratory syncytial virus; RPV = rinderpest virus; BAV-3 = bovine adenovirus 3; BVDV = bovine viral diarrhea virus; FMDV = foot-and-mouth disease virus; HVC-1 = cervid herpesvirus 1; BHV-1 = bovine herpesvirus 1; CapHV-1 = caprine herpesvirus 1; EHV-1 = equine herpesvirus 1; EBLV = enzootic bovine leucosis virus; PPRV = peste des petits ruminants virus.)
Antibodies was detected against HVC-1 (Gchantoot: one of 48, Bani Yas: two of 49), EHV-9 (Bani Yas: one of 49), and FMDV (Gchantoot: two of 48). Antibodies against BAV-3 were only recorded in herds from Jarf (one of 55), Gchantoot (11 of 48), and Bani Yas (three of 50). No antibodies were detected against EBLV and PPRV. Among the six UAE locations, seroprevalence was significantly different for BRSV ($P=0.002$), BTV ($P=0.016$), and EHDV ($P<0.001$).

More seroreactors than expected were found for EHDV in the Jarf and Bani Yas herds, and fewer than expected were observed in Sea Palace, Reef, and Gchantoot herds. For BTV, more seroreactors than expected were found in the Jarf herd, and fewer than expected were observed in the Bani Yas herd. For BRSV, only in one herd (Sea Palace) were more seroreactors than expected found.

**DISCUSSION**

Bluetongue (BT) and epizootic hemorrhagic disease (EHD) are infectious but noncontagious arthropod-borne viral diseases of domesticated and wild ruminants. Bluetongue virus is the prototype virus of the genus Orbivirus within the family Reoviridae. Twenty-four serologically distinct types have been identified. The viruses are considered to be endemic in the Middle East, tropical and subtropical Africa, Australia, and America, although excursions of BTV outside of these areas do occasionally occur (Mellor and Wittman, 2002). Clinical disease is characterized by extensive edema and hemorrhage. Viremia in sheep and cattle have been reported to be as long as 54 days (Koumbati et al., 1999) and 112 days (Du Toit, 1962), respectively, although in most animals, the duration of viremia is considerably less. Epizootic hemorrhagic disease virus is another member of the genus Orbivirus comprising at least eight serotypes. Clinical signs of EHD are usually less dramatic than BT in domesticated ruminants, although in white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus), disease can be severe (Fischer et al., 1995). Viremia has been reported to persist for up to 28 days in cattle (Gibbs and Lawman, 1977), whereas in white-tailed deer, it has been detected >50 days (Quist et al., 1997). Serologic evidence shows the virus to be widespread, occurring in the same geographic areas as BTV (Thevasagayam, 1998). Both of these viruses are transmitted by Culicoides biting midges; therefore, infection is usually confined to those areas in which competent vectors abound (e.g., Culicoides imicola in the Middle East; Mellor and Boorman, 1995).

Antibodies against BTV and EHDV have been recorded in several wild ruminants, including members of the tribe Hippotragini (i.e., roan antelope, Hippotragus equinus; Formenty et al., 1994; Thevasagayam, 1998), sable antelope (Hippotragus niger; Anderson and Rowe, 1998; Thevasagayam, 1998), and beisa oryx (O. gazella beisa; Davies and Walker, 1974). Our report describes significant levels of antibodies against BTV and EHDV in Arabian oryx. In a previous serologic survey in a herd of the NWRC, only one of 78 animals tested was reported seropositive (Greth et al., 1992). Although no evidence of either BTV or EHDV infection has been reported for Arabian oryx, the results here indicate that this species, like other ruminants, is susceptible to infection. Lack of seroreactors against BTV and EHDV in Arabian oryx from Mahazat as-Sayd compared with those from the NWRC is consistent with the hypothesis that these viruses have not circulated in the free-ranging population. We suggest that the high ambient temperature, intense solar radiation, desiccating conditions, and rare precipitation (average annual rainfall < 100 mm) prevailing in Mahazat as-Sayd might impose significant abiotic limitations to the development of transmitting Culicoides sp. vectors. The differences of exposure between the herds in the UAE might be a result of habitat modification through the establishment of forests by irrigation (Jarf, Bani Yas) that could favor development of vectors. Although BTV and EHDV are not
considered a major threat to Arabian oryx, they might act as a virus source during any viremic period. Therefore, only Arabian oryx that are not infected with BTV should be considered for translocation and release (Flamand, 1999).

Bovine respiratory syncytial virus belongs to the genus *Pneumovirus* within the family *Paramyxoviridae*. Infection of wild ruminants might be subclinical or result in pneumonia (Spraker and Collins, 1986; Foreyt and Evermann, 1988). Serologic and virologic evidence of BRSV infections have been found in a variety of wild ruminant species throughout the world. However, this has not been the case for Arabian oryx or related species, such as roan and sable antelope or gemsbok, studied previously (Van Campen and Early, 2001). Therefore, this is the first report indicating exposure to BRSV in herds of Arabian oryx. The high number of seropositive reactors for BRSV in Sea Palace (UAE) might be explained by the close proximity of the herd to humans and subsequent interaction. Handling of animals could play a role in the transmission of the virus (Berthiaume et al., 1973; Jacobs and Edington, 1975).

Binderpest virus is classified in the genus *Morbillivirus* in the family *Paramyxoviridae* (Kingsbury et al., 1978). The virus is highly contagious and causes an acute to subacute disease of mammals in the order Artiodactyla. In the past, the disease was characterized by necrosis and erosions in the gastrointestinal tract that results in severe diarrhea, dehydration, and death. Morbidity and mortality rates often exceeded 90%. Recently however, inapparent infections have been more common in cattle (Rossiter, 1996). The spread of RPV is almost exclusively by contact between infected and susceptible animals (Rossiter, 1994). Wild ungulates exhibit a wide range of clinical signs, ranging from very severe in kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*), African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*), giraffe (*Giraffa camelopardalis*), and eland (*Tragelaphus oryx*) to mild or nonspecific signs in impala (*Aepyceros melampus*; Rossiter, 1994). Beisa oryx are reported to be moderately susceptible to the disease (Rossiter et al., 1983), which is probably true for Arabian oryx as well (Rossiter, 1994; Flamand, 1999). For that reason, all Arabian oryx are vaccinated at least once in their life against RPV at the NWRC. Because the test used in this study also detects postvaccine antibodies, the presence of antibodies in the two NWRC populations is therefore likely to reflect postvaccine seroconversion. However, knowing that all oryx have been vaccinated, it is surprising that only a few seropositive reactors were found, particularly because in cattle, vaccinal antibodies are considered to provide lifelong immunity. This might be related to an inadequately preserved vaccine. No RPV-seropositive Arabian oryx from Mahazat-as Sayd were found because none of them have been vaccinated. Absence of antibodies in herds from the UAE might be because not all herds of Arabian oryx are vaccinated against RPV and because livestock living in the vicinity of the herds are presently vaccinated against RPV.

Bovine adenovirus-3 is a member of the family *Adenoviridae*. Viruses are shed in a variety of ways, including coughing. The disease usually starts with respiratory and enteric signs; fever and anorexia might be observed (Woods, 2001). Low seroprevalence for BAV-3 was found in herd A and herd F1+F2 from SA and in the Jarf, Ghantoot, and Bani Yas herds in the UAE. Only one previous report of antibodies against BAV-3 was found in oryx and involved captive scimitar-horned oryx (*Oryx dammah*) in the United Kingdom (Fröhlich and Flach, 1998). Therefore, this is the first report that indicates exposure of Arabian oryx to BAV-3.

Bovine viral diarrhea/mucosal disease is an acute, highly contagious disease that is characterized mainly by pyrexia, nasal discharge, erosions of the alimentary mucosa, and diarrhea (Malmquist, 1968). The virus is a member of the genus *Pestivirus* within
the family Flaviviridae. Antibodies against BVDV have been reported in many wild species and affect a wide range of hosts. Infection of wild ruminants can result in either acute disease or a subclinical infection (Van Campen et al., 2001). A high prevalence of antibodies against the NADL strain has been recorded in three other related species of Hippotraginae: gemsbok and sable and roan antelope (Hamblin and Hedger, 1979; Soine et al., 1992). Ours is the first study to demonstrate exposure of Arabian oryx to BVDV. However, positive sera were only found in SA in herds A and F1+F2 from the NWRC.

Foot-and-mouth disease is a highly contagious acute viral infection of ruminants and pigs. The virus belongs to the family Picornaviridae and is the only member of the genus Aphythovirus. Seven serologically distinct serotypes have been identified. Transmission is usually by direct contact, but the virus can also be transmitted via fomites and over considerable distances by the airborne route. Their geographic distribution is heterogeneous, and four of the seven identified serotypes are or have been prevalent in the Middle East (types O, A, C, and Asia 1), although there have been incursions of a fifth (SAT 1; Hedger, 1981; Thomson, 1994). Clinical signs include vesicular lesions and erosions in the mouth, tongue, lips, and nose. Foot lesions occur in the interdigital space and around the coronary band. Young animals can die as a result of myocarditis, often referred to as "tiger-heart disease." Virus-neutralizing antibodies against different FMDV serotypes have been reported in sable and roan antelope and gemsbok (Condyle et al., 1969). More recently, an outbreak caused by FMDV serotype O was reported in captive Arabian oryx in 2001 by the National Commission for Wildlife Protection, Bahrain. Morbidity was 100%, and mortality reached 60%. Two animals aborted and 42 died (Ostrowski and Anajariyah, 2002). The two Arabian oryx that were seropositive in 2001 had been negative the previous year. Because the 3ABC ELISA only detects antibodies produced against actively replicating virus, it is probable that these two animals were infected during the 2001 FMDV type O outbreak in the UAE (Anonymous, 2002). Therefore, it is recommended that captive Arabian oryx should be vaccinated annually against the FMDV serotypes that are usually prevalent in the Middle East. In addition, the continued vaccination of susceptible domestic livestock in and around reserves in which the Arabian oryx are being reintroduced is important (Thomson, 1994; Flamand, 1999).

Serologic surveys performed in a variety of ungulates have revealed the presence of alphaherpesviruses (Netleton et al., 1988; Frolich, 1996). Such viruses include BHV-1, which causes infectious bovine rhinotracheitis and pustular vulvovaginitis in cattle (Ludwig and Gregersen, 1986), HVC-1 isolated from red deer (Cervus elaphus; Jenny and Wessman, 1973; Inglis et al., 1983), the rangelier herpesvirus isolated from reindeer (Rangifer tarandus; Ek-Kommonen et al., 1986), and CapHV-1 isolated from goats (Engels et al., 1992). Experimental studies suggest that these viruses are quite host specific (Reid, 1994). Clinical signs in ungulates include conjunctivitis, lacrimation, and corneal lesions. Ulceration of the nares and serous or purulent nasal discharge can also occur. Direct contact is normally required for natural transmission of herpesviruses in ungulates (Inglis et al., 1983; Reid et al., 1986; Nettelton et al., 1988). Serologic reactors against alphaherpesviruses have been identified in roan and sable antelope and gemsbok (Hedger and Hamblin 1978; Hamblin and Hedger, 1982). This is the first report indicating exposure of Arabian oryx to alphaherpesviruses (HVC-1), with all three seropositive individuals originating from the UAE. Antibodies against HVC-1 in the three Arabian oryx tested might be specific but could also be a result of either nonspecific reactions or cross-reactions with other alphaherpesviruses as
yet unidentified that are specific to the Arabian oryx.

Equine herpesvirus-9 was first identified following an outbreak of acute encephalitis in captive Thomson's gazelles (Gazella thomsoni) in Japan (Fukushi et al., 1997), although the natural host remains unknown. This is the first report of the possible exposure of Arabian oryx to EHV-9. The one seropositive animal originated from the UAE.

The results presented here show that Arabian oryx have been exposed to BTV, EHDV, RPV, BRSV, BAV-3, HVC-1, FMDV, EHV-9, and BVDV or closely related viruses. Besides vaccination (rinderpest, FMD), these responses could reflect either an earlier incursion of virus into the population, continual exposure of a non-susceptible species, or a coincidental transmission from another species. The high antibody prevalence detected against BTV and EHDV in both UAE and SA oryx indicates that Arabian oryx are likely susceptible to both viruses and that if they become viremic, they could act as a source of virus to vectors. Absence of seroreactors against various viruses in animals from Mahazat as-Sayd could be explained by lack of transmission, the low density of the desert population, or both. Before consideration is given to relocation and reintroduction of oryx, it is critical to know the infection status. However, serology is the first step in determining the potential significance that pathogens could play. More work is needed to understand the origin, pathogenesis, and importance of these pathogens in Arabian oryx.
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